Steady State Analysis & Controlling Active and Reactive Power of Doubly Fed Induction Generator for Wind Energy Conversion System

¹MILKIAS BERHANU TUKA, ²DR. MENGESHA MAMO

¹Adama Science and Technology University, Adama, ETHIOPIA and Otto-Von-Guericke Universität Magdeburg, GERMANY ²Addis Ababa University, Institute of Technology, Addis Ababa, ETHIOPIA milkiasber@gmail.com, mmamo2006@gmail.com

Abstract: The doubly fed induction generator (DFIG) wind energy system is widely accepted in today's wind energy industry. The DFIG presented in the paper is of Adama-II wind power project in Ethiopia that is connected with the grid system. The DFIG is a wound rotor induction generator type in which the rotor circuit can be controlled by external devices called power converters to achieve variable speed of operation. Thus, this paper presents the steady state analysis of a DFIG used in the project at various operating conditions and consequently, it also addresses the controlling mechanisms of active and reactive power at stator winding by employing the well-known vector control approach. A Matlab-Simulink is used for modeling and controlling purposes. The stator of the DFIG is 0.69 kV which is connected to the grid through a three-winding pad mounted transformers (33kV), whereas the rotor connection to the grid is done through reduced capacity power converters.

Key words: DFIG, Power converter, Active power, reactive power, Matlab-Simulink

1. Background

In the last few years, renewable energies have experienced one of the largest growth areas in percentage of over 30 % per year, compared with the growth of coal and lignite energy [1].

The DFIG block diagram is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Simplified block diagram for DFIG WECS. Since both stator and rotor can feed energy to the grid, the generator is known as a DFIG. A two-level IGBT voltage source converter which is the key element in grid integration of wind turbine (WT) and Photovoltaic (PV) Systems is used for control purpose. The rotor-side converter (RSC) controls the active/reactive power or torque of the generator while the grid-side converter (GSC) controls the DC-link voltage and its AC-side reactive power [2]. The speed range of the DFIG wind energy system is around $\pm 30\%$ of synchronous speed. The maximum slip determines the maximum power to be processed by the rotor circuit, which is around 30% of the rated power. Therefore, the power flow in the rotor circuit is bidirectional; it can flow from the grid to the rotor or vice versa. This requires a four-quadrant converter system. The disadvantages of DFIG in Wind energy conversion system (WECS) are that the power converters generate switching harmonics. It has better efficiency [3].

Using wind energy as the most important source of renewable energies is increasing every day and the operational capacity of wind power plants is expected to reach 425 GW by the end of 2015 [4].

In [5] concludes; (i) that wind power over the last 20 years have become a competitive technology for clean energy production, (ii) that wind power will provide two digit percentages in many countries' electricity supply and (iii) there is no reason why wind power should not become as important to the world's future energy supply as nuclear power is of today.

Ethiopia has good wind resources with velocities ranging from 7 to 9 m/s. Its wind energy potential is estimated to be 10,000 MW. The current largest 153 MW Adama II wind farm went online in May 2015, bringing Ethiopia's installed wind capacity to 324

MW total and aiding the efforts to diversify electricity generation from hydropower plants [6].

Eventhough, many research works done in the areas of DFIG with grid system, few of them deals on steady state analysis on the three known operatin regions. This and the current wide use of DFIG in WECS, mainly in developing countries like Ethiopia, is the drive of the work in putting a valuable asset towards wind technology expansion in the country.

2. Modeling of DFIG

Modeling of the generator & associated components can start from wind turbine (WT). The modelling concepts can be used in various areas.

2.1.Wind Turbine

The power of an air mass of wind flowing at speed (v_w) through a swift area (A) can be calculated by:

$$P_{\rm W} = \frac{1}{2} \rho A v_{\rm W}^{3} \tag{1}$$

Where, ρ is air density (kg/m³) =1.225kg/m³

The wind power captured by the blade & converted into mechanical power can be calculated by:

$$P_{\rm W} = \frac{1}{2} \rho A v_{\rm W}^3 C_{\rm p} = \frac{1}{2} \rho \pi R^2 v_{\rm W}^3 C_{\rm p}$$
(2)

 $A = \pi R^2$, where R is the length of the blades, C_p is coefficient factor (efficiency of wind turbine)

2.2. Gearbox

As the speed obtained from wind turbine rotor is not to the level required by the generator, the gear box is needed to speed up & to couple its shaft to turbine hub. If the efficiency of gear box is 100%;

$$\omega_{\rm m}.T_{\rm m} = \omega_{\rm t}.T_{\rm t} \tag{3}$$

Thus,
$$G = \frac{\omega_m}{\omega_t} = \frac{T_t}{T_m}$$
 (4)

Where, ω_m and ω_t are the generator & turbine rated speeds in rpm respectively. G is gear box ratio.

Where; T_t = turbine torque & T_m = generator torque. In the case of Adama-II wind farm, the DFIG is 1800 rpm of rated value with 19 rpm of turbine rated speed. Accordingly, G=1800/19=94.7 [7, 8].

2.3.WTGs Power Coefficient Curve

Power coefficient (C_p), the ratio of the wind kinetic energy that the wind rotor receives and of all wind kinetic energy over the sweeping area of the blades indicates the wind energy utilization efficiency.

Initial value of C_p for WTG under study is approximately 0.34. When the wind speed reaches the cut-in speed, C_p keeps stable above 0.48 as shown in Figure 2 that is drawn having the WT data. When the wind speed exceeds the rated value, to ensure stable output power & to reduce impact of the wind on the WTGs, C_p value drops. For the DFIG under study, C_{pmax} of 0.4865 is used [7].



Figure 2. C_p & C_t versus wind speed curve of DFIG

2.4. Tip Speed Ratio

The tip speed ratio (λ) is the ratio of the blade tip speed to the speed of the incoming wind [9].

$$\lambda = \frac{\omega_t R}{v_w} \tag{5}$$

2.5.Aerodynamic Model

The aerodynamic model represents the power extraction of the rotor, calculating the mechanical torque as a function of the air flow on the blades [9].

The mechanical power (P_M) captured by the turbine can be expressed in terms of the torque:

$$\omega_{\rm t} = \frac{\lambda^* v_{\rm W}}{\rm R} \tag{7}$$

Substituting equation 2 and 7 into 6

$$T_{t} = \frac{1}{2} \rho \pi R^{3} \frac{C_{p}(\lambda)}{\lambda} v_{W}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \rho \pi R^{3} C_{t} v_{W}^{2}$$
(8)

$$C_{p}(\lambda) = C_{t} * \lambda \tag{9}$$

Where, C_t is the coefficient of torque.

As it can be seen in Figure 2, C_p and C_t follows the same pattern when wind speed get increasing beyond cut-in speed. Assuming 100% efficiency of G, the following relations can be used during modeling.

$$T_t' = T_t/G$$
 (10)
Where T_t' is turbine torque referred to generator side

 $J_t'=J_{t'}G^2$ (11) $J_t' \text{ is turbine inertia referred to generator side}$ T T'

$$\omega_{t} = \frac{I_{t} - I_{g}}{J_{t} - J_{g'}}$$
(12)

3. Steady State Analysis of DFIG and Power Conversion System

From the wind turbine power, speed, generator and turbine parameters, it's possible to calculate all the remaining main electrical magnitudes of the wind turbine generator and its associated converters.

The relationships between the different frequencies of the machine are basics that must be known prior to the study of the electric equations of the DFIG for the purpose of carrying out different analysis. Thus, the equation that relates ω_{s} , ω_{r} and ω_{m} (shaft's mechanical speed) are given as follows [9]:

$$\omega_{\rm s} = \omega_{\rm r} + \omega_{\rm m} \tag{13}$$

The relation between ω_m & electrical speed (ω_e) are:

$$\omega_{\rm m} = p\omega_{\rm e} \tag{14}$$

The units of these two equations are given in rad/s. The slip, s of the machine is defined as follows

$$s = \frac{\omega_s - \omega_m}{\omega_s} = \frac{\omega_r}{\omega_s}$$
(15)

 ω_s is constant since the stator windings are directly connected to the grid. However, ω_r obviously depends on ω_m , which leads to 3 operating modes depending on the speed and the sign of the slip: [10] $\omega_m < \omega_s \rightarrow \omega_r > 0$; $s > 0 \Rightarrow$ Sub Synchronous Operation $\omega_m > \omega_s \rightarrow \omega_r < 0$; $s < 0 \Rightarrow$ Super Synchronous Operation $\omega_m = \omega_s \rightarrow \omega_r = 0$; $s = 0 \Rightarrow$ Synchronous Operation The upcoming section will focus on the analysis of DFIG in the above three modes of operation.

3.1. Super & Sub-synchronous Operation

The rated slip at which the rated power generated is -0.2 [7], which is used as representing the rated steady-state operating point of the system. In the super synchronous operation mode, $|P_m|$ from the shaft is delivered to the grid through both stator & rotor circuits. $|P_r|$ is transferred to the grid by power converters in the rotor circuit, whereas the $|P_s|$ is delivered to the grid directly. Neglecting the losses in the generator and converters, the power delivered to the grid $|P_g|$ is the mechanical power $|P_m|$ of the generator, as illustrated in Figure 3a. For the subsynchronous operation in Figure 3b, the rotor receives power from the grid. Both $|P_m| \& |P_r|$ are delivered to the grid through the stator. Although $|P_s|$ is the sum of $|P_m| \& |P_r|$, it will not exceed its power rating since in the sub synchronous mode, $|P_m|$ from the generator shaft is lower than that in the super synchronous mode. Neglecting the losses, the total power delivered to the grid $|P_g|$ is the input $|P_m|$. However, $|P_g|$ at sub synchronous mode of operation is less than the super synchronous mode of operation since P_m at this time is lesser [11].



Figure 3 Power flow in DFIG WECS

3.2.RSC Equivalent Circuit & its Power Conversion System at Unity Power Factor

In order to investigate the steady-state performance of the DFIG wind energy system, the RSC can be modeled by equivalent impedance as shown in Figure 4. This equivalent circuit is developed by adding the converter equivalent impedance to the Squirrel Cage Induction Generator (SCIG) steady-state model [12]. This section starts with derivation of steady-state equivalent impedance for the RSC, based on which the analysis of the DFIG wind energy system under unity power factor operation is performed.



Figure 4. Steady-state equivalent circuit of DFIG with the RSC represented by R_{eq} and X_{eq}

The equivalent impedance of the converter is given:

$$Z_{eq} = R_{eq} + jX_{eq} = R_{eq} + j\omega_{sl}L_{eq}$$
(16)

Where ω_{sl} is the angular slip frequency of the rotor and L_{eq} is the equivalent inductance of the RSC.

It can be reminded that the frequency of the rotor current in the actual rotor winding flowing into the converter is ω_{sl} not the stator frequency ω_{s} . In order to integrate the converter equivalent impedance into the steady-state model with ω_{s} , Z_{eq} should be divided by slip s. The equivalent impedance referred to the stator side is then given by [11]

$$\frac{\overline{Z}eq}{s} = \frac{R_{eq}}{s} + j\frac{\omega_{sl}L_{eq}}{s} = \frac{R_{eq}}{s} + j\omega_{s}L_{eq}$$
(17)
Where $\omega_{sl} = s. \omega_{s}.$

At unity power factor, the air-gap power(P_{ag}) of the generator can be calculated by:

$$P_{ag} \approx 3(V_s - I_s R_s)I_s \tag{18}$$

From the induction machine theory, P_{ag} is given by:

$$P_{ag} = \frac{\omega_s T_m}{P}$$
(19)

Equating equation (19) and (18) yields

$$3(V_{\rm S} - I_{\rm S}R_{\rm S})I_{\rm S} = \frac{\omega_{\rm S}T_{\rm m}}{P}$$
(20)

$$R_{S}I_{S}^{2} - V_{S}I_{S} + \frac{\omega_{S}T_{m}}{3P} = 0$$
 (21)

Applying quadratic expression on equation (21)

$$I_{s} = \frac{V_{s} \pm \sqrt{V_{s}^{2} - \frac{4R_{s}T_{m}\omega_{s}}{3P}}}{2R_{s}}$$
(22)

The voltage across the magnetizing branch:

$$\overline{V}_{m} = \overline{V}_{s} + \overline{I}_{s}(R_{s} + j\omega_{s}L_{ls})$$
(23)

At unity pf, the stator voltage & current are given by

$$\overline{V}_{S} = V_{S} < 0^{0}; \overline{I}_{S} = I_{S} < 180^{0}$$
 (24)

The stator voltage and current are 180° out of phase, indicating that the DFIG is in the generating mode and the stator power factor (PFs) is unity.

The magnetizing current can be determined by

$$\bar{I}m = \frac{Vm}{j\omega_{\rm s}L_{\rm m}}$$
(25)

The rotor current is

$$\overline{Ir} = \overline{Is} - \overline{Im}$$
 (26)
The rotor voltage can be calculated by

$$\frac{\overline{V}r}{s} = \overline{V}m - \overline{I}r(\frac{R_r}{s} + j\omega_s L_{lr})$$
(27)

$$\overline{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{s}.\overline{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{m}} - \overline{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{j}\mathbf{s}.\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{lr}})$$
(28)

The rotor voltage & current can relate to R_{eq} & X_{eq} as given by (see Figure 4)

$$\frac{\overline{V}_{r}/s}{\overline{I}_{r}} = \frac{R_{eq}}{s} + j\frac{X_{eq}}{s}$$
(29)

$$R_{eq} + jX_{eq} = \frac{Vr}{\bar{I}r}$$
(30)

In other way, from Figure 4 & assuming the direction of rotor current flow reversed,

$$\overline{\mathbf{V}}_{r} = \overline{\mathbf{I}}_{r} \left(\mathbf{R}_{r} + \mathbf{j} \mathbf{s} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{s} \mathbf{L}_{\sigma r} \right) + \left(\overline{\mathbf{I}}_{s} + \overline{\mathbf{I}}_{r} \right) \mathbf{j} \mathbf{s} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{s} \mathbf{L}_{m}$$
(31)

By collecting terms in $j\omega_s$ and rearranging

$$\overline{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{r}} = \overline{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{S}} \omega_{\mathbf{S}} \left[\mathbf{L}_{\sigma \mathbf{r}} \overline{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{r}} + (\overline{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{S}} + \overline{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{r}}) \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{m}} \right]$$
(32)

The equations for stator and rotor flux linkages [8]:

$$\psi_{\rm S} = L_{\rm m} I_{\rm r} + L_{\sigma \rm s} I_{\rm s} \tag{33}$$

$$\overline{\psi_{\mathbf{r}}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{m}} \overline{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{S}} + \mathcal{L}_{\sigma \mathbf{r}} \overline{\mathbf{I}}_{\mathbf{r}}$$
(34)

When the flux linkage expression is recognized in the voltage equations:

$$\overline{V_{S}} = \overline{I_{S}}R_{S} + j\omega_{S}\overline{\Psi_{S}}$$
(35)

$$\overline{V_r} = \overline{I_r} R_r + j s \omega_s \overline{\psi_r}$$
(36)

Once the values of $R_{eq} \& X_{eq}$ are determined from the above expressions, the analysis of power conversion of DFIG wind energy system, where P_m , P_r , stator and rotor winding losses, $P_{cu,s}$ and $P_{cu,r} \&$ efficiency to be determined can be carried out.

To facilitate the steady-state analysis of the DFIG wind energy system, the equivalent circuit of Figure 4 above can be rearranged as shown in Figure 5, in which P_m , P_r , $P_{cu,s}$ and $P_{cu,r}$ can be easily calculated by a general equation of : $P = 3I^2R$.

Figure 6 shows the power flow diagram of the DFIG operating under the super and sub synchronous modes of operation. Neglecting the rotational losses, P_{rot} of the turbine, the power transferred or dissipated in the generator can be calculated by [12]:

$$P_{m} = 3I_{r}^{2}(R_{r} + R_{eq})(1 - s)/s$$

$$P_{s} = P_{m}/(1 - s); P_{ag} = P_{s} - 3I_{s}^{2}R_{s}$$

$$P_{r} = 3I_{r}^{2}R_{eq} =; P_{cu,r} = 3I_{r}^{2}R_{r}$$

$$P_{s} = 3V_{s}I_{s}\cos\varphi_{s} ; P_{cu,s} = 3I_{s}^{2}R_{s}$$
(37)

The power delivered to the grid, P_g , the sum of the stator & rotor power is given by:

$$\left| P_{g} \right| = \begin{cases} |P_{S}| + |P_{r}| \rightarrow \text{Super synchronous mode} \\ |P_{S}| - |P_{r}| \rightarrow \text{Sub synchronous mode} \end{cases}$$
(38)

In the super synchronous operating mode as shown in Figure 6a, R_{eq} of the RSC has a positive value and hence P_r is positive. This implies that R_{eq} consumes power similar to the winding resistances, R_r and R_s . In reality, P_r is not dissipated in R_{eq} , but transferred from the rotor to the grid through the converters. In the subsynchronous mode, R_{eq} has a negative value and P_r is also negative. This indicates that the rotor circuit receives power from the grid through the converters as shown in Figure 6b [11].



Figure 5. Steady-state equivalent circuit of DFIG for system performance evaluation



Fig. 6 DFIG Power flow with RSC by Req & Xeq.

3.3.RSC Equivalent Impedance & its Power Conversion at Super Synchronous Mode

Having all the necessary parameters of the DFIG 1.56 MW, 690 V, 50 Hz, 1800 rpm wind energy system as obtained from the name plate data of manufacturer, factory test report and mathematical analysis, investigation on the relationship between the rotor voltage, rotor current, the equivalent impedance of the RSC and power conversion system can be carried out at three operating points. In [13], it is mentioned that the stator leakage reactance (X_{1s}) and rotor leakage reactance X_{lr} (X_{2s}) are typically about 5% of the mutual reactance (X_m). R_s and R_r are typically about 0.5% of the X_m. In this regard L_{ls}, L_{lr} and X_m are

calculated and similarly the remaining parameters are determined. In the first analysis, the generator speed at super synchronous mode is used and similar analyses are carried out on other speeds of operation.

From Equation 22, the stator current is calculated by

$$I_s = \frac{V_s \pm \sqrt{V_s^2 - \frac{4R_s T_m \omega_s}{3P}}}{2R_s}$$

 I_s value with plus (+) sign is omitted as it results with huge current which is not reasonable and I_s is minus in generating mode of operation and is used. Accordingly, T_m is derived as from equation 22.

$$T_{\rm m} = \frac{3P[V_{\rm s}^2 - (2R_{\rm s}I_{\rm s} - V_{\rm s})^2]}{4R_{\rm s}\omega_{\rm s}}$$
(39)

In [7], the rated stator current, I_s is given as 1110A. Thus, the rated mechanical Torque, T_m can be calculated as:

$$T_{\rm m} = \frac{3P[V_{\rm s}^2 - (2R_{\rm s}I_{\rm s} - V_{\rm s})^2]}{4R_{\rm s}\omega_{\rm s}} = 9.5922 \,\rm kNm$$

Using the equivalent circuit of Figure 5,

$$\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{m}} = \overline{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{S}} + \overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}} + \mathrm{j}\omega_{\mathrm{S}}\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ls}}) = 411.19 < 9.71^{\mathrm{0}}\mathrm{V}$$

This is the referred voltage. To obtain the actual voltage from transformation ratio, a (u) =0.42 [8]:

$$\overline{V_{m}} = \frac{1}{a}\overline{V_{m}} = \frac{1}{0.42}(411.19 < 9.71^{0}) = 979 < 9.71^{0}V$$

This voltage can be the DFIG rotor voltage or RSC voltage. Rated continuous voltage of the RSC is 975V [3] resulted with small deviation as of calculated one.

$$\bar{I}_{m} = \frac{\overline{V_{m}}}{j\omega_{s}L_{m}} = 329.19 < 80.29^{\circ}A = 55.522 - j324.5A$$

 $I_r = I_s - I_m = -1165.522 + j324.5A = 1209.52 < -15.56^{\circ}A$ This is the referred rotor current. The actual rotor current from transformation ratio, a (u) =0.42:

$$\overline{I}_r = a\overline{I}_r = 508.14 \angle -15.56^{\circ}A$$

$$\overline{V_r} = s\overline{V_m} - \overline{I_r}(R_r + js\omega_s L_{lr}) = 79 < 23.92^{\circ} = 79 < -156^{\circ}V$$
Or
$$\overline{V}_r = \overline{I_r}R_r + js\omega_s\overline{\psi_r}$$
Where
$$\overline{\psi}_r = L_mI_s + L_rI_r = 1.442 < -71.43^{\circ}Wb$$
Then,
$$\overline{V}_r = \overline{I_r}R_r + js\omega_s\overline{\psi_r} = 79.77 < 23.97V$$
Where,
$$\omega_s = 2\pi * f_s = 2\pi * 50 = 314.16$$
rad/s and
$$s = \omega_s \cdot \omega_r / \omega_s = -0.2$$

The voltages are almost the same in the two ways. Actual rotor voltage:

$$\overline{V'}_{r} = \frac{\overline{V}_{r}}{a} = \frac{79.77 \angle -156.03^{\circ}}{0.42} = 189.93 \angle -156.03^{\circ}V$$

Or using the equation

 $\left|V_r\right| \approx \left|sV_s\right|$

 $V_r = 0.2*690/\sqrt{3}=79.7$ V which is nearly the same as the above one. The equivalent impedance for the RSC at rated speed is given by

$$\overline{Z_{eq}} = \frac{\overline{V_r}}{\overline{I_r}} = \frac{79 < 23.92^0}{1209.852 < -15.56^0} = 0.0504 + j0.0412\Omega$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{From which} & R_{eq} = 0.0504 \ \Omega & X_{eq} = 0.0415 \ \Omega \\ \mbox{Similarly, the DFIG at unity power is:} \end{array}$

$$P_{\rm m} = 3I_{\rm r}^2 (R_{\rm r} + R_{\rm eq}) \frac{1-s}{s} = -1619.7 \, \text{kW} \approx -1.6 \, \text{MW}$$

Alternatively, the P_m can also be calculated by

$$P_{\rm m} = T_{\rm m}.\omega_{\rm m} = -8592.2 \times 1800 \times 2 \times \pi/60 = -1.6 {\rm MW}$$

 P_m in the two methods is the same. In addition, the nameplate rated mechanical power from the turbine is 1.56MW (\approx -1.6MW) [7] which is again nearly the same with calculated result. The rotor power is:

$$P_r = 3I_r^2 R_{eq} = 3*1209.852^2 * 0.0504 = 221.318 \, kW$$

The rotor and stator winding losses are

$$P_{cu,r} = 3I_r^2 R_r = 3*1209.852^2*0.011074 = 48.63 \text{ kW}$$

$$P_{cu.s} = 3I_s^2 R_r = 3*1110^2 * 0.006243 = 23.1 \text{ kW}$$

 $P_{s} = 3V_{s}I_{s}\cos\varphi_{s} = -1326.578 \,\text{kW}$

Where the stator power factor angle φ_s is 180° . The total power delivered to the grid is then,

$$|P_g| = |P_s| + |P_r| = 1326.578 + 221.318 = 1547.896 \text{kW}$$

The difference between P_m and P_g is the losses on the stator and rotor windings, that is,

$$\begin{split} |P_{loss}| &= |P_{cu, r}| + |P_{cu, s}| \text{ or } |P_{loss}| = |P_m| - |P_g| \\ |P_{loss}| &= P_{cu, r} + P_{cu, s} = 4.63 + 23.1 = 71.73 \text{ kW}, \text{ alternatively} \\ |P_{loss}| &= |P_m| - |P_g| = 1619.7 - 1547.96 = 71.0 \text{ kW} \end{split}$$

The losses in the windings are around 72kW. The efficiency of the DFIG is then,

 $\eta = Pg/Pm = 1547.96/1619.7 = 95.57\%$

Following the same procedure, the calculated rotor voltage, rotor current, the equivalent impedance of the RSC and power conversions in the super synchronous (1950 and 1700 rpm) modes, sub synchronous mode (1250 and 1050 rpm) are done and the results are shown in Figure 7. For the convenience of comparison, the calculated results for the DFIG operating in the synchronous (1500 rpm) are also





Figure 7 Mechanical, rotor, stator & grid power of DFIG operating at super & sub synchronous speeds

3.3.1. Summary

- As it is described above and seen in Figure 8, Req and Xeq are very nearly the same & follow the same pattern. Therefore, the equivalent impedance of the RSC of DFIG in WECS can be approximately equals $\sqrt{2*R_{eq}}$ or $\sqrt{2*X_{eq}}$ at steady state operating conditions except at synchronous speed. X_{eq} is zero at synchronous speed.
- At synchronous speed, the rotor voltage phase angle & rotor current phase angles are the same(Figure 9), indicating the rotor power factor(pf) angle of zero. The rotor current phase angle is increasing when the generator is running from sub synchronous speed to super synchronous speed, while the rotor voltage phase angle varies slowly. In addition, the rotor pf angle is drastically decreasing positively during sub synchronous & nearly remaining constant during super synchronous mode of operation due to the slight phase change between rotor voltage & current.
- For a given rotor speed, the rotor copper winding loss is higher than the stator copper losses due to higher rotor currents. In connection, the total loss of windings increases as a DFIG runs from sub synchronous to super synchronous speed.
- At synchronous speed, P_s is equal to the mechanical power and the rotor power is at minimum value.
- At rated speed, P_g from the analysis is 1547.9 kW where as from the factory test result that conducted at 1802 rpm speed @ 703V is 1540 kW [8].



Figure 6. I







In large megawatt wind generators, R_s is normally very small. To simplify the analysis, it can be neglected [11]. The simplified method thus can be used in cases where it becomes difficult and unable to find the parameters of DFIG in accurate method. When the generator operates with unity stator power factor, its air-gap power can be calculated by

$$P_{ag} \approx 3(V_{s} - I_{s}R_{s})I_{s} \approx 3V_{s}I_{s}$$

$$\tag{40}$$

From Equations (19) and (40), the stator current is:

$$I_{\rm S} = \frac{T_{\rm m}\omega_{\rm S}/p}{3V_{\rm s}} \tag{41}$$

With I_s known, the equivalent impedance of the RSC can be calculated, and the steady-state performance of the DFIG be analyzed. Compared with I_s given in Equation (22), the calculation of I_s by equation (41) is simpler. More importantly, this method can facilitate the analysis of the DFIG wind energy systems with non-unity stator pf, which is discussed hereby.

3.4.Analysis of DFIG under Leading & Lagging Power Factor Operation

When the generator operates with a leading or lagging power factor, I_s can be calculated by

$$I_{s} = \frac{T_{m}\omega_{s}/p}{3V_{s}cosj_{s}}$$
(42)

With I_s calculated, the Z_{eq} of RSC can be obtained following the same procedures as of before.

3.4.1. Leading PF Operation (
$$\cos\varphi_s = 0.95$$
)
3.4.1.1. Super Synchronous Mode (1950 rpm)
When the generator operates at 1950 rpm, I_s is:
 $I_s = \frac{T_m \omega_s/P}{3V_s \cos j_s} = \frac{-10084 * 50\pi}{3*398.4*0.95} = -1395.1A$
Here, $Tm = -(1950/1800)^{2*8.5922} = -10.04 \text{ kNm}$
 $\overline{V}m = \overline{V}_s + \overline{I}_s(R_s + j\omega_s L_{1s}) = 416.3 < 12.1^0 \text{ V}$
 $\overline{I}m = \frac{\overline{V_m}}{j\omega_s L_m} = 69.87 - j325.91 \text{ A}$
 $I_r = I_s - I_m = -1464.97 + j325.91 \text{ A} = 1500. < -12.54^0 \text{ A}$
 $\overline{V}_r = s\overline{V}_m - \overline{I_r}(R_r + js\omega_s L_{1r}) = 126.43 < 26.95^0 \text{ V}$
 $\overline{Z}eq = \frac{\overline{V}_r}{\overline{I}_r} = 0.0650 + j0.0536\Omega$
Req=0.0650 Ω Xeq=0.0536 Ω
 $P_m = 3I_r^2(R_r + R_{eq})\frac{1-s}{s} = -2227.54 \text{ kW}$

Alternatively, $P_m = T_m \cdot \omega_m = -2059.2 \text{ kW}$

When power factor reduces from unity to 0.95, $I_s \& I_r$ increases. However, the torque unaltered as it doesn't depend on the power factor. This resulted in larger variation of P_m as calculated in two ways. P_r then is, $P_r = 2L^2P_r = -420.22 \text{ kW}$

$$P_{r} - 5I_{r} R_{eq} -439.22 \text{ kW}$$

$$P_{cu,r} = 3I_{r}^{2}R_{r} = 74.83 \text{ kW}$$

$$P_{cu,s} = 3I_{s}^{2}R_{s} = 36.5 \text{ kW}$$

$$P_{g} = |P_{g}| + |P_{r}| = 1583.94 \text{ kW}$$

$$|P_{gb}| = |P_{gb}| + |P_{r}| = 1583.94 + 439.22 = 2023.16 \text{ kW}$$

$$|P_{loss}| = |P_{m}| - |P_{gb}| = 2059.2 - 2023.16 = 36.04 \text{ kW}$$
Efficiency= 98.25%
Following the same procedure, the calculation of the same procedure.

Following the same procedure, the calculation was done on other speeds.

4. Dynamic Modeling of DFIG

4.1.αβ Model

In developing the dynamic $\alpha\beta$ model of the DFIG, space vector theory is applied to the basic electric equations of the machine. Figure10 shows the three different rotating reference frames typically utilized to develop space vector-based models of the DFIG. The stator reference frame ($\alpha\beta$) is a stationary, the rotor reference frame (DQ) rotates at ω_m and the synchronous reference frame (dq) rotates at ω_s . Subscripts "s", "r" and "a" are used to denote that one space vector is reference to the stator, rotor and synchronous reference frames, respectively. By using clark, parke and inverse transformations, a space vector can be represented in any of these frames [10].



Figure 10. Reference frames The general voltage equation is:

$$\dot{V}_r^{\ I} = I_r^{\ R}R_r + \frac{d\phi_r}{dt} \tag{4}$$

In stationary reference frame:

$$\vec{V}_{S}^{S} = [\vec{I}_{S}^{S}][R_{S}] + \frac{d\left|\vec{\psi}_{S}^{S}\right|}{dt} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} u_{\alpha S} = r_{S}i_{\alpha S} + \frac{d\psi_{\alpha S}}{dt} \\ u_{\beta S} = r_{S}i_{\beta S} + \frac{d\psi_{\beta S}}{dt} \end{cases}$$
(44)
$$\vec{V}_{r}^{r} = [\vec{I}_{r}^{r}][R_{r}] + \frac{d\left|\vec{\psi}_{r}^{r}\right|}{dt}$$
(45)

Writing the rotor voltage in stator reference frame $\vec{V}_r^r = T \begin{bmatrix} \vec{V}_r^s \end{bmatrix}$ Where, 'T' is transformation factor, $T = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$ (46)

Then, $\vec{V}_{r}^{r} = T.[\vec{V}_{r}^{s}] = T.[\vec{I}_{r}^{s}][R_{r}] + \frac{d|T.\vec{\psi}_{r}^{s}|}{dt}$ Multiplying both sides by T⁻¹ and taking T. T⁻¹=1 $[T^{-1}]T.[\vec{V}_{r}^{s}] = [T^{-1}]T.[\vec{I}_{r}^{s}][R_{r}] + [T^{-1}]\frac{d|T.\vec{\psi}_{r}^{s}|}{dt}$ $\omega_{r} = s\omega_{s} \rightarrow f_{r} = sf_{s}$ (47) $[T^{-1}.\frac{dT}{dt}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \omega_{m}$ Thus,

$$\vec{v}_{r}^{S} = [\vec{i}_{r}^{S}][R_{r}] + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \omega_{m} \cdot \vec{\psi}_{r}^{S} + \frac{d\vec{\psi}_{r}^{S}}{dt}$$
$$\vec{v}_{r}^{S} = \begin{cases} v_{\alpha r} = R_{r}i_{\alpha r} + \frac{d\psi_{\alpha r}}{dt} + \omega_{m}\psi_{\beta r} \\ v_{\beta r} = R_{r}i_{\beta r} + \frac{d\psi_{\beta r}}{dt} - \omega_{m}\psi_{\alpha r} \end{cases}$$
(48)

In the same way, the stator & rotor flux expressions in space vector form in a stationary reference frame:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{S} \\ \Psi_{r}^{S} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{S} & L_{m} \\ L_{m} & L_{r} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} i_{S} \\ i_{r}^{S} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \Psi_{\alpha s} = L_{s}i_{\alpha s} + L_{m}i_{\alpha r} \\ \Psi_{\beta s} = L_{s}i_{\beta s} + L_{m}i_{\beta r} \\ \Psi_{\alpha r} = L_{m}i_{\alpha s} + L_{r}i_{\alpha r} \\ \Psi_{\beta r} = L_{m}i_{\beta s} + L_{r}i_{\beta r} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} i_{S} \\ i_{r}^{S} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{L_{m}^{2} - L_{s}L_{r}} \begin{bmatrix} -L_{r} & L_{m} \\ L_{m} & -L_{s} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{S}^{S} \\ \Psi_{r}^{S} \end{bmatrix}$$
(50)
Where $L_{s} = L_{ls} + L_{m}$ and $L_{r} = L_{lr} + L_{m}$

 $v_{\alpha s}$; $v_{\beta s}$; $v_{\alpha r}$; $v_{\beta r}$; $i_{\alpha s}$, $i_{\beta s}$, $i_{\alpha r}$, $i_{\beta r}$ and $\psi_{\alpha s}$, $\psi_{\beta s}$, $\psi_{\alpha r}$, $\psi_{\beta r}$ are voltages [V], currents [A] and flux linkages [Wb] of the stator and rotor in α and β -axis, R_s and R_r are the resistances of the stator & rotor windings [Ω], L_s , L_r , L_m are the stator, rotor and mutual inductances [H].

 $L_{1}s$, $L_{1}r$ are the stator and rotor leakage inductances [H], ω is the speed of the reference frame [rad/s], ω_{m} is the mechanical angular velocity of the generator rotor [rad/s]. On the other hand:

$$P_{\rm s} = \frac{3}{2} (v_{\alpha \rm s} i_{\alpha \rm s} + v_{\beta \rm s} i_{\beta \rm s})$$
(51)

$$Q_{s} = \frac{3}{2} (v_{\beta s} i_{\alpha s} - v_{\alpha s} i_{\beta s})$$
(52)

While the electromagnetic torque, created by the DFIG, can be calculated by:

$$T_{em} = \frac{3}{2} p I_m \{ \vec{\psi}_r \, \vec{i}_r \} = \frac{3}{2} p(\psi_{\beta r} i_{\alpha r} - \psi_{\alpha r} i_{\beta r})$$
(53)

By adding the mechanical motion equation that describes the rotor speed behavior:

$$T_{\rm em} - T_{\rm m} = J \frac{d\Omega_{\rm m}}{dt}$$
(54)

With J, the inertia of the rotor and T_m , the load torque applied to the shaft. The models of the DFIG above can be used for computer-based simulations. Lastly, it has to be noticed that the model parameters of the machine, R_s , R_r , L_{ls} , L_{lr} , and L_m are the same for both steady state and dynamic models.

4.2.dq model

The space vector model of the DFIG can be also represented in a synchronously rotating frame by multiplying the voltage expressions by $e^{-j\theta s}$ & $e^{-j\theta r}$, respectively, the dq voltage equations can be: [10]

$$\vec{v}_{S}^{a} = \vec{I}_{S}^{a} R_{S} + \frac{d\vec{\psi}_{S}^{a}}{dt} + j\vec{\omega}_{S}^{a} \rightarrow \begin{cases} v_{ds} = R_{S}i_{ds} + \frac{d\psi_{ds}}{dt} - \omega_{S}\psi_{qs} \quad (55) \\ v_{qs} = R_{S}i_{qs} + \frac{d\psi_{qs}}{dt} + \omega_{S}\psi_{ds} \end{cases}$$

$$\vec{v}_{r}^{a} = \vec{I}_{r}^{a} R_{r} + \frac{d\vec{\psi}_{r}^{a}}{dt} + j\vec{\omega}_{r}^{a} \Phi \begin{cases} v_{dr} = R_{r}i_{dr} + \frac{d\psi_{dr}}{dt} - \omega_{r}\psi_{qr} \\ v_{qr} = R_{r}i_{qr} + \frac{d\psi_{qr}}{dt} + \omega_{r}\psi_{dr} \end{cases}$$
(56)

Where ω_r , the induced rotor voltages have frequency of $\omega_m = \omega_s \cdot \omega_r$ or $\omega_r = s\omega_s \rightarrow f_r = sf_s$ Similarly, the fluxes yield:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{\psi}_{s}^{a} \\ \vec{\psi}_{r}^{a} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{s} & L_{m} \\ L_{m} & L_{r} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \vec{i}_{s}^{a} \\ \vec{i}_{r}^{a} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{P} \begin{cases} \psi_{ds} = L_{s}i_{ds} + L_{m}i_{dr} \\ \psi_{qs} = L_{s}i_{qs} + L_{m}i_{qr} \\ \psi_{dr} = L_{m}i_{ds} + L_{r}i_{dr} \\ \psi_{qr} = L_{m}i_{qs} + L_{r}i_{qr} \end{cases}$$
(57)

For a sinusoidal supply of voltages, at steady state, the dq components of the voltages, currents, and fluxes will be constant values, in contrast to the $\alpha\beta$ components that are sinusoidal magnitudes.

The instantaneous active and reactive power of DFIG can be converted into a synchronously rotating d-q reference frame by [12]

$$P_{\rm s} = \frac{3}{2} (v_{\rm ds} i_{\rm ds} + v_{\rm qs} i_{\rm qs})$$
(58)

$$Q_{s} = \frac{3}{2} (v_{qs} i_{ds} - v_{ds} i_{qs})$$
(59)

5. Vector Control of DFIG

In DFIG wind energy systems, the stator voltage oriented control is achieved by aligning the d-axis of the synchronous reference frame with V_s . The resultant d and q-axis stator voltages are: [2]. $V_{qs} = \psi_{ds} = 0$ and $V_{ds} =$ (60) $\omega_s = 2\pi fs$ (61)

The stator voltage vector angle Φ_s , is referenced to the stator frame, which varies from zero to 2π when V_s rotates one revolution in space. The rotor rotates at speed ω_r . The rotor position angle Φ_r is also referenced to the stator frame. The angle between the

stator voltage vector and the rotor is the slip angle, defined by $\Phi_{sl} = \Phi_s - \Phi_r$ (62) Since the DFIG operates with unity power factor, the stator current vector I_s is aligned with V_s but with opposite direction (DFIG in generating mode). The dq-axis components can be controlled independently by the rotor converters. The P_s & Q_s of the stator are controlled by the RSC by considering the delay time. Therefore, it is worthwhile to investigate the controllability of P_s and Q_s by the rotor voltage and current.

Where ψ_{ds} and ψ_{qs} are the dq-axis stator flux linkages, $\psi_{ds} = L_s i_{ds} + L_m i_{dr}; \psi_{qs} = L_s i_{qs} + L_m i_{qr}$ (63) From which the dq-axis stator currents are calculated,

$$i_{ds} = \frac{\psi_{ds} - L_m i_{dr}}{L_s}; i_{qs} = \frac{\psi_{qs} - L_m i_{qr}}{L_s}$$
 (64)

In DFIG wind energy system, I_r is controlled by the RSC. Referring to the IG model, the stator voltage vector for the steady-state operation of the generator:

$$V_{\rm S} = i_{\rm dS} R_{\rm S} + j \omega_{\rm S} \psi_{\rm S} \tag{65}$$

The representation in dq-axis is

$$(V_{ds} + jV_{qs}) = (i_{ds} + ji_{qs})R_s + j\omega_s(\psi_{ds} + j\psi_{qs})$$
 (66)
From which the dq-axis stator flux linkages are

$$\psi_{ds} = \frac{V_{qs} - R_s i_{qs}}{\omega_s}; \psi_{qs} = -\frac{V_{ds} - R_s i_{ds}}{\omega_s}$$
(67)

Using the stator voltage oriented control (vqs = 0),

$$P_{s} = \frac{3}{2} (V_{ds} i_{ds}); Q_{s} = -\frac{3}{2} V_{ds} i_{qs}$$
(68)

$$P_{s} = \frac{3}{2} V_{ds} \left(\frac{\Psi_{ds} - L_{m} i_{dr}}{L_{s}} \right); Q_{s} = -\frac{3}{2} V_{ds} \left(\frac{\Psi_{qs} - L_{m} i_{qr}}{L_{s}} \right)$$
(69)

$$i_{dr} = \frac{2L_s}{3V_{ds}L_m} P_s + \frac{1}{L_m} \psi_{ds}$$

$$i_{qr} = \frac{2L_s}{3V_{ds}L_m} Q_s + \frac{1}{L_m} \psi_{qs}$$
(70)

$$\dot{i}_{dr} = -\frac{2L_s}{3V_{ds}L_m}P_s - \frac{R_s}{\omega_s L_m}i_{qs}$$

$$(71)$$

$$i_{qr} = \frac{2L_s}{3V_{ds}L_m}Q_s + \frac{R_s}{\omega_s L_m}i_{ds} - \frac{V_{ds}}{\omega_s L_m}$$

For Vqs=0 & Neglecting the R_s, we have

$$i_{dr} = \frac{2L_s}{3V_{ds}L_m} P_s \rightarrow a; \quad i_{qr} = \frac{2L_s}{3V_{ds}L_m} Q_s - \frac{V_{ds}}{\omega_s L_m} \rightarrow b$$
(72)

As of the above equations, for a given stator voltage, $P_s \& Q_s$ can be controlled by the dq-axis rotor currents independently. Figure 11 shows the DFIG modeling in Matlab-Simulink and Figure 12 shows simulated results by controlling P_s at 1MW & Qs at 0.



Figure11. DFIG Modeling for Ps & Qs control



Figure12. Ps and Qs simulation results

6. CONCLUSION

The mechanical power, P_m of the wind turbine must be larger than power sent to grid in magnitude to supply losses. The rated wind turbine's power should be greater than $|P_g|$ of rated speed of generator (1800) rpm) as the DFIG acheives \pm 30% slip. In this case, rated power of the gear box is 1.66MW [7]. This can be accepted as the calculated value is 1547.96 kW. The calculated value of the DFIG stator winding is 1326.5kW which is less than the rated power (1.56MW). This is also acceptable. As the rotor circuit of DFIG receives power from the grid through the converters during the sub synchronous mode of operation, the total power delivered to the grid is less as compared with super synchronous mode of operation. Therefore, the power delivered to the grid is $|P_g| = |P_s| - |P_r|$. $|P_s|$ is approximately equal to $|P_m| + |P_r|$ in the super synchronous operating mode, whereas in the sub synchronous operating mode $|P_s| \sim |P_m| - |Pr|$. The stator active power control and reactive power control with modelling has been done. When the pf of the DFIG reduces from unity to 0.95 in either lagging or leading, Pg is decreasing in sub synchronous mode and increasing in super synchronous mode. Rotor side control should be proceed as a further work.

References:

[1] Remus Teodorescu, Marco Liserre & Pedro Rodríguez, Grid Converters for Photovoltaic and Wind Power Systems, 2011 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. ISBN: 978-0-470-05751-3

[2] S. Müller, M. Deicke, & R. W. De Doncker, DFIG Systems for WTs, IEEE Industry Applns, 2002.

[3] B. Wu & etal High-Power Converters and AC Drives, Wiley-IEEE Press, 2006.

[4] Asuhaimi A, Mohd Zin B, etal, An overview on DFIG controls & contributions to wind based electricity generation. 2013.

[5] M. A. Nielsen, Power Quality & Grid Connection of Wind Turbines Product Manager Vestas Wind Systems A/S, SmedHansensVej 27 6940 Lem Denmark
[6] http://allafrica.com/stories/201505211503.html
[online]

[7] SANY 1.5 MW series WTG product manual of Adama-II wind farm

[8] Sany DFIG Ethiopia Adama-II project test report

[9] Busquets-Monge, S., Rocabert, et al. (200) multilevel diode-clamped converter for PV generators with independent voltage control of each solar array. IEEE

[10] Haitham Abu-Rub, Mariusz Malinowski & etal, Power Electronics for Renewable Energy Systems, Transportation& Industrial Applications.

[11] BinWu,Yongqiang Lang, etal, Power Conversion and Control of Wind Energy Systems

[12] J. McCalley, Doubly-fed electric machines steady state analysis, Power point

[13] ABB, ACS00-67 wind turbine converters: System description & start-up guide

[14] Hongsheng Su, Kai Chen, Xiaoyan Liang, Research reactive current detection method for STAT-COM under grid-side asymmetrical faults, 2016