

# Oscillation Conditions for a Class of Lienard Equation

Hishyar Kh. Abdullah  
 Dept. of Mathematics  
 University of Sharjah,  
 P.O. Box 27272, Sharjah, U.A.E.  
 hishyar@sharjah.ac.ae <http://www.sharjah.ac.ae>

**Abstract:** - The aim of this paper is to investigate oscillatory properties of a class of a generalized Lienard equation. Several oscillation conditions are presented that improve the results obtained in the literature. The results obtained here are new and further improve and complement some known results in the literature. We extend and improve the oscillation criteria of several authors. Moreover, two examples are presented to demonstrate the main results.

**Key-Words:** - Oscillatory, Lienard equation, Second order differential equations, Non-Linear.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the oscillation of a class of Lienard equation of the form

$$\ddot{x}(t) + f(x(t))(\dot{x}(t))^2 + g(x(t)) \dot{x}(t) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $f(x(t))$  and  $g(x(t))$  are continuously differentiable functions on  $R$ .

Many criteria have been found which involves the behavior of the integral of a combination of the coefficients of second order nonlinear differential. This approach has been motivated by many authors (for example see [1],[2],[3],[4],[5],[6],[7],[8],[9],[10],[11],[13],[14] and [15] and the authors therein). Which often studied by reducing the problem to the estimate of suitable first integral. Our attention is concentrated to such solutions  $x(t)$  of (1.1) which exists on the interval  $[\beta, \infty)$  for  $\beta > \alpha$ . Where  $\alpha$  is non negative real number.

**Definition 1:** A nontrivial solution  $x(t)$  of differential equation (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeroes on  $[\beta, \infty)$ , for  $\beta > \alpha$  otherwise it said to be " non oscillatory.

It is well known (see [12] Reid) that either all solutions of (1.1) are nonoscillatory, or all the solutions are oscillatory. In the former case, we call the differential (1.1) nonoscillatory and in the later case is oscillatory.

## 2 Main Results

We prove the following theorem

**Theorem 1:** If

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( - \int_{\beta}^{\infty} \left\{ (f(y))^2 + \frac{df(y)}{dy} \right\} ds \right) = \infty, \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\beta}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(g(y))^2}{4((f(y))^2 +)} + \frac{df(y)}{dy} \right) ds \right) = \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

Then the differential equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

**Proof:** Let  $x(t)$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) on the interval  $[\alpha, \infty)$ , without loss of generality its solution can be supposed such that  $x(t) > 0$  on  $[\alpha, \infty)$ .

We define

$$w(t) = \dot{x}(t)(f(x(t)))^{-1}.$$

Then  $w(t)$  is well defined and satisfies the equation

$$\dot{w}(t) = - \left( (f(x(t)))^2 + \frac{df(x(t))}{dx} \right) (w(t))^2 - g(x(t)) w(t), \quad (2.3)$$

rewriting equation (2.3) we have

$$\dot{w}(t) = - \left\{ \left( (f(x(t)))^2 + \frac{df(x(t))}{dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} w(t) + \frac{g(x(t))}{2 \left( (f(x(t)))^2 + \frac{df(x(t))}{dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\}^2 + \frac{(g(x(t)))^2}{4 \left( (f(x(t)))^2 + \frac{df(x(t))}{dx} \right)}.$$

Integrating both sides of the above equation from  $\alpha$  to  $t$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(t) &= w(\alpha) \\
 &- \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( (f(y(s)))^2 + \frac{df(y(s))}{dy} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} w(s) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{g(y(s))}{2 \left( (f(y(s)))^2 + \frac{df(y(s))}{dy} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\} ds \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \frac{(g(y(s)))^2}{4 \left( (f(y(s)))^2 + \frac{df(y(s))}{dy} \right)} ds. \quad (2.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the hypotheses (2.1) of the theorem there exist  $\beta > \alpha$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(t) \geq &- \int_{\beta}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( (f(y(s)))^2 \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{df(y(s))}{dy} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} w(s) \right\} ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(t) = &- \int_{\beta}^{\infty} \left\{ \left( (f(y(s)))^2 \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{df(y(s))}{dy} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} w(s) \right\} ds. \quad (2.5)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $w(t) \geq R(t)$ .

Now differentiating equation (2.5) with respect to  $t$  we get

$$\dot{R}(t) \geq - \left( (f(y(s)))^2 + \frac{df(y(s))}{dy} \right) (R(t))^2.$$

Therefore

$$- \left( (f(y(s)))^2 + \frac{df(y(s))}{dy} \right) \leq \frac{\dot{R}(t)}{(R(t))^2}.$$

Integrating both sides of this inequality with respect to  $t$  (with  $t$  replaced by  $s$ ) from  $\beta$  to  $t$  for  $t > \beta$  we get

$$- \int_{\beta}^{\infty} \left( (f(y(s)))^2 + \frac{df(y(s))}{dy} \right) ds \leq \frac{1}{R(\beta)}.$$

Which contradicts the hypothesis of the theorem. Hence the differential equation (1.1) is oscillatory. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2:** If

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta}^t \frac{(g(y(s)))^2}{\left( f(y(s))g(y(s)) + \frac{dg(y(s))}{dy} \right)} ds = \infty, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$- \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta}^t \left( f(y(s))g(y(s)) + \frac{dg(y(s))}{dy} \right) = \infty. \quad (2.7)$$

Then the differential equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

**Proof:** Let  $x(t)$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) on the interval  $[\alpha, \infty)$ , without loss of generality its solution can be supposed such that  $x(t) > 0$  on  $[\alpha, \infty)$ .

We define

$$w(t) = \dot{x}(t) \left( g(x(t)) \right)^{-1}.$$

Then  $x(t)$  is well defined and satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{w}(t) = &- \left( f(y(t))g(y(t)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{dg(y(t))}{dy} \right) (w(t))^2 \\
 &- g(y(t))w(t). \quad (2.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting equation (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{w}(t) &= - \left( \left( f(y(t))g(y(t)) + \frac{dg(y(t))}{dy} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} w(t) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{g(y(t))}{2 \left( f(y(t))g(y(t)) + \frac{dg(y(t))}{dy} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{(g(y(t)))^2}{4 \left( f(y(t))g(y(t)) + \frac{dg(y(t))}{dy} \right)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides of this equation from  $\alpha$  to  $t$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &w(t) \\
 &= w(\alpha) \\
 &- \int_{\alpha}^t \left( f(y(s))g(y(s)) + \frac{dg(y(s))}{dy} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} w(t) \\
 &+ \frac{g(y(s))}{2 \left( f(y(s))g(y(s)) + \frac{dg(y(s))}{dy} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} ds \\
 &+ \int_{\alpha}^t \frac{(g(y(s)))^2}{4 \left( f(y(s))g(y(s)) + \frac{dg(y(s))}{dy} \right)} ds. \quad (2.9)
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the hypothesis (2.6) of the theorem 2 there exist  $\beta > \alpha$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &w(t) \\
 &\geq - \int_{\alpha}^t \left( f(y(s))g(y(s)) \right. \\
 &\left. + \frac{dg(y(s))}{dy} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} w(t) \\
 &+ \frac{g(y(s))}{2 \left( f(y(s))g(y(s)) + \frac{dg(y(s))}{dy} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
 &Z(t) \\
 &- \int_{\alpha}^t \left( f(y(s))g(y(s)) + \frac{dg(y(s))}{dy} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} w(t) \\
 &+ \frac{g(y(s))}{2 \left( f(y(s))g(y(s)) + \frac{dg(y(s))}{dy} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} ds. \quad (2.10)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $w(t) \geq Z(t)$ .

Now differentiating equation (2.10) with respect to  $t$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{Z}(t) \geq &- \left( f(y(t))g(y(t)) \right. \\
 &\left. + \frac{dg(y(t))}{dy} \right) (Z(t))^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$- \left( f(y(t))g(y(t)) + \frac{dg(y(st))}{dy} \right) \leq \frac{\dot{Z}(t)}{(Z(t))^2}$$

Integrating both sides of this inequality with respect to  $t$  (with  $t$  replaced by  $s$ ) from  $\beta$  to  $t$  for  $t > \beta$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &- \int_{\beta}^t \left( f(y(s))g(y(s)) + \frac{dg(y(s))}{dy} \right) ds \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{Z(\beta)} - \frac{1}{Z(t)},
 \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left( - \int_{\beta}^t \left( f(y(s))g(y(s)) \right. \right. \\
 \left. \left. + \frac{dg(y(s))}{dy} \right) ds \right) \leq \frac{1}{Z(\beta)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Which contradicts the hypothesis of the theorem. Hence the differential equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

This completes the proof.

### 3 Examples

The following examples illustrate the applicability of the theorems.

**Example 1:** The applicability of theorem 1.

Consider the second nonlinear order differential equation

$$\ddot{x}(t) + \coth x(t) (\dot{x}(t))^2 + e^{x(t)} \dot{x}(t) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

for this differential equation we have  $f(x(t)) = \coth x(t)$  and  $g(x(t)) = e^{x(t)}$ .

To show the applicability the hypothesis (2.1) of theorem 1

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( - \int_{\beta}^{\infty} \left\{ (f(y))^2 + \frac{df(y)}{dy} \right\} ds \right) \\ = - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta}^t (\coth^2 y - \operatorname{csch}^2 y) ds \\ = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta}^t -ds = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} s \Big|_{\beta}^t = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

To show the applicability of the hypothesis (2.2) of theorem 1

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\beta}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(g(y))^2}{4((f(y))^2 +)} + \frac{df(y)}{dy} \right) ds \right) \\ = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta}^t \frac{e^{2y}}{4(\coth^2 y - \operatorname{csch}^2 y)} ds \\ = - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left. \frac{e^{y(s)}}{8} \right|_{\beta}^t = -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the theorem implies that the differential equation is oscillatory.

**Example 2:**

The applicability of theorem 2.

Consider the second nonlinear order differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x}(t) + \frac{2x(t) - 1}{1 + (x(t))^2} (\dot{x}(t))^2 - (1 + (x(t))^2)\dot{x}(t) \\ = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

for this differential equation we have  $f(x(t)) = \frac{2x(t)-1}{1+(x(t))^2}$  and  $g(x(t)) = -(1 + (x(t))^2)$ .

To show the applicability the hypothesis (2.6) of theorem 2

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta}^t \frac{(g(y(s)))^2}{\left( f(y(s))g(y(s)) + \frac{dg(y(s))}{dy} \right)} ds \\ = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta}^t \frac{(1 + (y(s))^2)^2}{\left( \frac{2y(s) - 1}{1 + (y(s))^2} (-1 - (y(s))^2) + 2y(s) \right)} ds \\ = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta}^t (1 + (y(s))^2)^2 ds = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

And the applicability of the hypothesis (2.7) of theorem 2

$$\begin{aligned} - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta}^t \left( f(y(s))g(y(s)) + \frac{dg(y(s))}{dy} \right) \\ = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta}^t \left( \frac{2y(s) - 1}{(1 + (y(s))^2)} (-1 - (y(s))^2) + 2y(s) \right) ds \\ = - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\beta}^t (-1) ds = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem is applicable.

**4 Conclusion**

In this paper, we have discussed some conditions for oscillation of class of lienard equation (1,1), where  $f(x(t))$  and  $g(x(t))$  are continuously differentiable functions on  $R$ . Under certain assumptions, we have derived a complete characterization of an eventually positive solution  $x(t)$  of (1.1). By using generalized Riccati techniques, we have proved that under a number of conditions that every solution  $x(t)$  of (1.1) is oscillatory. Also, we have given two examples to illustrate the obtained results.

**5 Acknowledgement**

I would like to extend my thanks to the University of Sharjah for its support.

*References:*

- [1] H. Kh. Abdullah, On the Oscillation of Second Order Nonlinear Differential Equations, *International Journal of Applied Mathematical Research*, Vol.3, No.1, 2013, pp. 1-6.
- [2] H. Kh. Abdullah, Oscillation Criteria of Second Order Nonlinear Differential Equations, *Open Journal of Applied Science*, Vol.2, No.4, 2013, pp. 120-122.
- [3] H. Kh. Abdullah, The Oscillation of the Nonlinear Differential Equations  $\ddot{x}(t) + g(x(t)) + f(t)\dot{x}(t) + r(t)h(x(t)) = 0$ , *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol.94 No.1, 2014, pp. 1-7.
- [4] H. Kh. Abdullah, Sufficient Conditions for Oscillation of Second Order Nonlinear Differential Equations, *International*

- Journal of Differential Equations and Applications*, Vol.12 No.3, 2013, pp. 192-197.
- [5] H. Kh. Abdullah, Oscillation Conditions of Second Order Nonlinear Differential Equations, *International Journal of Applied Mathematical science*, Vol.34 No.1, 2014, pp. 1490-1497.
- [6] S.Breuer and D. Gottlieb, Hille-Wintner type oscillation criteria for linear ordinary differential equations of second order, *Ann. Polon. Math*, Vol.30, 1975, pp. 257-262.
- [7] D.Cakmak, Oscillation for second order nonlinear differential equations with damping, *Dynam. Systems Appl.*, Vol.17 No.1, 2008, pp. 139-148.
- [8] W. J. Close, Oscillation criteria for nonlinear second order equations, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, Vol.82, 1969, pp. 123-134.
- [9] J. Li Horng, Nonoscillatory characterization of a second order linear differential equations, *Math. Nacher*, Vol.219, 2000, pp. 147-161.
- [10] R. J Kim, Oscillation criteria of differential equations of second order, *Korean J. Math.*, Vol.19 No.3, 2011, pp. 309-319.
- [11] W. Li and R. P. Agarwal, Interval oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear differential equations with damping, *compute. Math. Appl.*, Vol.40, 2000, pp. 217-230.
- [12] W. T. Reid, *Sturmian Theory for Ordinary Differential*, Springer - Verlay, New York, 1980.
- [13] M. Sabatini, On the period function of  $x'' + f(x)(x')^2 + g(x) = 0$ , *J. Differential Equations*, Vol.196, 2004, pp. 151-168.
- [14] J. Tyagi, An oscillation theorem for a second order nonlinear differential equations with variable potential, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol.2009 No.19, 2009, pp. 1-5.
- [15] J. S. W. Wong, oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear differential equations with integrable coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math.Soc.*, Vol.115, 1992, pp. 389-395.