

Childlessness Survival Time; A case study in Tehran (Iran)

ADEL ABDOLLAHI¹, MAHSA SAADATI², AREZOO BAGHERI^{3*}

Assistant professor, Population, Environment and Development Department, National Population Studies & Comprehensive Management Institute, Tehran, IRAN
abdollahi1980@gmail.com. adel.abdolahi@psri.ac.ir

² Assistant professor, Statistical Methods and Modeling Department, National Population Studies & Comprehensive Management Institute, Tehran, IRAN.
mahsa.saadati@gmail.com. Mahsa.saadati@psri.ac.ir

³ Assistant professor, Statistical Methods and Modeling Department, National Population Studies & Comprehensive Management Institute, Tehran, IRAN.abagheri_000@yahoo.com.
arezoo.bagheri@psri.ac.ir

Abstract: Since determination of factors affecting on childbearing delay can provide convenient approaches to prevent fertility decline, nowadays studying this issue is an important issue for demographers and it is also emphasized by planners and politicians. The aim of this study is to evaluate factors effect on first birth interval among 458, 15-49 Iranian married women in Tehran province. In this cross sectional study, stratified random sampling was used to collect data of a structured questioner in 2017. Women's first birth interval was compared in confronting some demographic, socio-economic and attitudinal factors by Kaplan-Meier estimates and Log-Rank test as non-parametric survival analysis tools. The mean of women's first birth interval was 3.33 ± 2.67 years with a median of 3.00 years. Kaplan-Meier estimates were significantly different between levels of women's and their husband's educational level, activity, and post materialism factor (p -value <0.05). Women with university education and who had husbands with university educational level had the largest first birth interval among all other educational levels. Employed women had longer first birth interval than unemployed ones. Women lived with employed husband had shorter first birth interval. High post materialism women had the largest first birth interval.

Key-Words: First birth intervals, Kaplan-Meier, Log-Rank test, Women, Tehran, Iran.

1 Introduction

It is important to study the factors affecting the first childbearing because it has been less than a decade that Iran has experienced fertility below the replacement level, and the delay in childbearing is a new phenomenon in the country. Currently, Iran has the lowest fertility rate in the Middle East. Studies on the fertility rate in Iran indicate that the total fertility rate has reduced from 7 births per woman in 1979 to 1.9 births in 2006 and 1.8 births in 2011. This decline is observed not only in urban but also in rural areas [1-3]. Among the many indices that affect the identification of the fertility pattern, the interval between marriage and the first child is important for many reasons because in the post-marriage period the first fertility is less forgotten and almost all people remember their first pregnancy. Secondly, the delay in the menstrual cycle that occurs after fertilization is not observed

in this period. Other fertility times are heavily affected by irregular changes in this period [4-5]. McDonald et al. (2015) used the data of Demographic Health Research (DHS) in 2010 to calculate parity progression ratio in Iran [6]. According to this study, in 2010, the interval between marriage and the birth of the first child increased to 3.5 years. This interval was 2.7 years in the study of Abbasi-Shavazi and Razeghi Nasrabad (2012), which was conducted based on the Demographic Health Research data in 2000 [7]. Saadati et al. (2015) also studied the time of the first birth and its determinants in Semnan province using the parametric survival model. Their results indicate that the average interval between marriage and the first birth is 2.76 years and that by four years after the marriage, more than 90% of women have given birth to their first child [8].

Based on the parametric survival model, the interval between marriage and childbearing of rural women, employed women and those with lower education is shorter than that for urban, non-employed and educated women.

Expansion and universalization of education, urbanization and increased participation of women are important achievements of modernization, which contribute greatly to changing attitudes and fertility behaviors [9-11]. The “revolution in reproduction” and access to contraceptives is one of the achievements of technological advances that has been taken into account in the evaluation of factors affecting the delay in childbearing. Studies conducted in Iran show that increased education levels of women [7], the creation of a balance between individual and family goals [6], increased education level and reduced child mortality, and increased education of children [12] are factors affecting the first childbearing. Studies in other countries also suggest that working mothers gain less work experience and less income than men or childless women. Accordingly, women who want to achieve career success delay their maternity stage. On the other hand, women who reach their maternity at older ages are able to achieve high levels of human capital, including stable jobs with higher status and higher wage [13-15].

One of the characteristics of fertility below the replacement level is the increase in the time of the first birth which has occurred in recent years in Iran. Considering the importance of this issue, this study examines the factors affecting the first childbearing using survey data on the effect of socioeconomic dimensions of rationality on childbearing behavior in Tehran [16].

2 Materials and Methods

In a cross-sectional study, the structured questionnaire was reviewed from 458 married women aged 15-49 years in Tehran provinces, Iran to collect their demographic, fertility history and socio-economic characteristics in 2017. The women were selected by multi-stage stratified random sampling from different regions in Tehran [16].

In this study, Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was applied. Life table and Kaplan-Meier techniques are useful tools to analyze the time of accrued events, such as death, marriage and birth interval. In addition, these techniques can produce correct

estimates of the proportion of women who have a subsequent birth at a successive duration of exposure. If the observation duration is long enough, the proportion of women who have a subsequent birth after a given duration is similar to the parity progression ratio from one parity to the next [17-19]. Thus, these techniques are more sensitive to measure changes in reproductive behavior compared with conventional fertility measures, such as the TFR. These techniques may provide more detailed information about the cause of fertility decline. Using these techniques, we can learn whether fertility change is due to the spacing of births or due to a change in the proportion of women reaching high parities. In sum, life table analyses of parity orders may provide information both on quantum and tempo of fertility. In this article, we preferred to use Kaplan-Meier instead of life table technique, because it is more advantageous compared with ordinary life table technique [20].

The Kaplan-Meier method estimates for all “event times”, t_i , and can be calculated according to Formula (1):

$$S(t_i) = \prod_{l=1}^i \frac{n_l - d_l}{n_l} \quad (1)$$

where n is the number of individuals at risk at time t_i , and d_i is number of events at time t_i .

In the comparison of two (or more) groups of survival data, there are a number of methods that can be used to quantify between-group differences such as Log-Rank and Wilcoxon tests. For the two groups, Hypotheses are given as:

$$H_0: S_1(t) = S_2(t)$$

$$H_1: S_1(t) > S_2(t)$$

where $S_1(t)$ is the survival function at time t_i .

In this article, we used the log-rank test which is a nonparametric test and appropriate to use when the data are right censored. The log-rank test compares estimates of the hazard functions of the two (or more) groups for each observed event time [21].

3 Result

Mean of women's first birth interval was 3.33 ± 2.67 years. In this article “age, women's and their husbands educational level, women's and their husbands activity, family expenditure, sex preferences, Ideal Number of

Children (INC)” and “ Economic Insecurity, Plan-base Behavior, Parental Identity, Child Positive value, Social Insecurity, Post Materialism, Conflict between child and parental duty, Psychological Insecurity, Male Breadwinner, Individualism, Perception of the child, Increasing child cost & Child Negative value” were considered as socio-economic and childbearing attitudinal factors, which can effect on first birth interval. Table (1) shows frequency and percentage of covariates. Most of women were 30-39 years old (47.4%) and

had low family expenditure (<2million Toman) (55%). 38.8 percentages of women comparing to 42.1 percentages of their husbands had university educational level. Only 28.8, 17.7, and 3.7 percentages of women were employed, had sex preferences, and desired no children, respectively. None of the women was in the low level of post materialism comparing to 90.8 percentages.

Table 1. Demographic and socio-economic characteristics of women

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Categories</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>Percent</i>
<i>Age</i>	20-29	65	14.2
	30-39	217	47.4
	40-49	167	36.5
	>=50	9	2.0
<i>Woman Educational level</i>	ilitrate&Nehzat	29	6.3
	Secondary&high school	42	9.2
	Diploma	201	43.9
	BC	138	30.1
	MS & Phd	40	8.7
<i>Husband Educational level</i>	ilitrate&Nehzat	29	6.3
	Secondary&high school	67	14.6
	Diploma	160	34.9
	BC	137	29.9
	MS & Phd	56	12.2
<i>Woman Activity</i>	Employed	132	28.8
	Unemployed	325	71.0
<i>Husband Activity</i>	Employed	432	94.3
	Unemployed	18	3.9
<i>Family Expenditure</i>	<2million Toman	252	55.0
	2-3.5miloin Toman	144	31.4
	>3.5million Toman	50	10.9
<i>Sex Preferences</i>	Yes	81	17.7
	No	371	81.0
<i>Ideal Number of Children (INC)</i>	.00	17	3.7
	1.00	48	10.5
	2.00	228	49.8
	3.00	68	14.8
	4+	95	20.7
<i>Post materialism</i>	low	0	0
	middle	30	6.6
	high	416	90.8
<i>Total</i>		458	100.0

Kaplan-Meier survival estimates are computed for women’s first birth intervals and its survival curve is shown in Fig. 1. As this figure displays, most of the women’s first birth interval (about 80%) were less than 5 years. Kaplan-Meier estimates mean, median, and standard error for first birth interval between covariates are given in Table (2). These indicators help us to understand the average and median of first birth intervals among various categories of covariates, Also p-value of Log-Rank

test are shown in this table to test significant differences of first birth interval among covariates. Mean and median of Kaplan-Meier estimates were 3.25 and 3.0, respectively. The median equals to 3 means that half of the women had 3 years interval between their marriage and first birth. For simplicity only significant tests are shown in this table.




Fig.1. Kaplan-Meier survival curve of first birth interval

Table 2. Kaplan-Meier estimates of first birth interval by covariates


Variable	Categories	Mean		Median		Log-Rank Test (P-value)
		Estimate	Std. Error	Estimate	Std. Error	
Woman's Educational level	ilitrate&Nehzat	2.786	.403	2.000	.287	.005
	Secondary&high school	2.476	.288	2.000	.204	
	Diploma	3.050	.151	3.000	.176	
	BC	3.638	.195	3.000	.201	
	MS & Phd	3.850	.371	3.000	.703	
Husband's Educational level	ilitrate&Nehzat	2.754	.302	2.000	.406	.011
	Secondary&high school	2.821	.294	2.000	.180	
	Diploma	2.956	.156	3.000	.194	
	BC	3.657	.196	3.000	.212	
	MS & Phd	3.696	.318	3.000	.465	
Woman's Activity	Employed	3.689	.209	3.000	.280	.008
	Unemployed	3.050	.116	3.000	.121	
Husband's Activity	Employed	2.111	.301	1.000	.128	.007
	Unemployed	3.293	.107	3.000	.133	
Post materialism	low	-	-	-	-	.001
	middle	2.300	.231	2.000	.298	
	high	3.306	.111	4.000		
Total		3.251	.104	3.000	.132	

Fig (2) displays the women's first birth interval survival curves, by covariates. Pattern of the survival curves by educational level (Fig 2.a, 2.b)), showed that by increasing women's and their husbands' educational level, first birth interval also increased. These variations also proved by significant Log-Rank test p-value (<0.05). University educated women and their husband had the largest first birth interval comparing the other educational levels.


Employed women had greater mean (3.689) of first birth interval than unemployed ones (3.050) (Table 2). Fig (2.c) shows the survival curves of women's

first birth intervals according to their job status that displays differences between two curves. These differences are proved by Log-Rank test which is computed in Table (2) and significant at 0.01 level (p-value=0.008). Women with unemployed husbands had larger first birth interval (3.29) than employed ones (2.11). The same results can be concluded from Fig (2.d).


High post materialism women had the largest first birth interval, compare to other levels of this covariate. Fig (2.e) indicated that by increasing post materialism, women's first birth interval increasing.




(a)




(b)



(c)



(d)



(e)

Fig 2. Kaplan-Meier survival curves of women's first birth interval by covariates

4 Conclusion

The study of the dynamics of timing and spacing of births is important for several reasons, including an understanding of completed family size as well as maternal and child mortality [22]. Modeling fertility data is one of the greatest interests in population economic studies. Socio-economic factors such as the women's place of residence, education and activity have been correlated with birth spacing although the mechanisms by which these background variables influence birth spacing is less clear.

In 38 out of 51 countries with DHS data, illiterate women were more likely than educated women to have shorter birth intervals [23]. The effect of maternal employment on spacing is less clear; in some settings it appears to be associated with shorter spacing. The nature of work is perhaps more important. Employment in the formal and modern sector has been found to be related to longer spacing [23-24].

In this paper first birth interval and factors which are effect on its variability between 15-49 years old married women in Tehran was analyzed by Kaplan-Meier survival estimates and Log-Rank test. The result showed that, there are significant variations in first birth interval between different categories of woman's and their husbands' educational level, woman's and their husbands' activity, and post materialism.

Acknowledgment

This article is extracted from a research project entitled "effects of socio-economic rationality dimensions on childbearing behavior in Tehran" (Announcement no. 21/26845 dated 05 October. 2016). The project was sponsored by the National Institute of Population Research in 2016-17.

References

- [1] Abbasi-Shavazi, M. J., McDonald, P., & Hosseini-Chavoshi, M. (2009). The fertility transition in Iran. *Revolution and Reproduction*, 75.
- [2] Abbasi-Shavazi, M. J., Hosseini-Chavoshi, M., Banihashemi, F., & Khosrvi, A. (2013). Assessment of the own-children estimates of fertility applied to the 2011 Iran Census and the 2010 Iran-MIDHS. In *International Population Conference, Busan, Korea* (pp. 26-31).
- [3] Erfani, A. (2010). *Tehran survey of fertility, 2009: Final report*. Tehran, Iran: Population Studies and Research Center in Asia and the Pacific.
- [4] National Research Council, & Committee on Population. (2005). *Growing up global: The changing transitions to adulthood in developing countries*. National Academies Press.
- [5] Singh, O. P., Singh, V. K., & Pathak, D. S. (2006). A Probability distribution for first birth interval. *Canadian Studies in Population*, 33(1), 69-81.
- [6] McDonald P, Hosseini-Chavoshi M, Abbasi-Shavazi MJ, Rashidian A. An assessment of recent Iranian fertility trends using parity progression ratios. *Demogr Res.* 2015;32(58):1581-1602. doi:10.4054/DemRes.2015.32.58
- [7] Abbasi-Shavazi, MJ, and Razeghi-Nasrabad, (2012), Patterns and factors affecting marriage to first birth interval in Iran, *Journal of Population Association of Iran*,5 (9): 75-107.
- [8] Saadati, M., Bagheri, A., Razeghi, H. (2015). First Birth Interval and its determinants in Semnan Province by Parametric Survival Model. *Journal of Population Association of Iran*, 10(19), 63-87.
- [9] Hirschman, C. (1985). Premarital socioeconomic roles and the timing of family formation: A comparative study of five Asian societies. *Demography*, 22(1), 35-59.
- [10] Kravdal, Ø. (1994). The importance of economic activity, economic potential and economic resources for the timing of first births in Norway. *Population studies*, 48(2), 249-267.
- [11] Rindfuss, R. R., Morgan, S. P., & Swicegood, G. (1988). *First births in America: Changes in the timing of parenthood* (Vol. 2). Univ of California Press.